

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:
PAUL FENSTER
FENSTER & COMPANY, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY
2002 LTD.
PO BOX 10256
PETACH TIKVA, ISRAEL 49002

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing (day/month/year)	22 JUN 2005
-------------------------------------	--------------------

FOR FURTHER ACTION
See paragraph 2 below

Applicant's or agent's file reference 330/03699			
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/IL04/01092	29 November 2004 (29.11.2004)		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC(7): A61B 5/103, 5/117 and US Cl.: 600/588			
Applicant BARNEV LTD.			

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. I Basis of the opinion |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. II Priority |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VI Certain documents cited |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application |

2. **FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230	Authorized officer Brian Szmal Telephone No. (703) 308-0858
--	---

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.

PCT/IL04/01092

Box No. I Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
 This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
 - a. type of material
 - a sequence listing
 - table(s) related to the sequence listing
 - b. format of material
 - in written format
 - in computer readable form
 - c. time of filing/furnishing
 - contained in international application as filed.
 - filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
 - furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/IL04/01092

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims <u>2-4,6-18,22-27,30-41</u>	YES
	Claims <u>1,5,19-21,28,29,42</u>	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims <u>2-4,10,11,13,14,22-27,30-41</u>	YES
	Claims <u>1,5-9,12,15-21,28,29,42</u>	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims <u>1-42</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1, 5, 19-21, 28, 29 and 42 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Mault (2002/0028995 A1).

Claims 7-9, 15 and 16 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over the prior art as applied in the immediately preceding paragraph and further in view of Sullivan (5,817,035). Sullivan discloses a means for obtaining fetal physiological parameters as well as maternal physiological parameters.

Claim 12 lacks an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over the prior art as applied in the immediately preceding paragraph and further in view of Sliwa et al (6,039,701). Sliwa et al disclose a means for obtaining cervical diameters during labor through the use of a probe.

Claims 17 and 18 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over the prior art as applied in the immediately preceding paragraph and further in view of Sackner et al (6,551,252). Sackner et al disclose the use of accelerometers aligned with one or more body axes to determine the posture of a user.